See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/278708727

210Pb Dating

Chapter · January 2014

DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-6326-5_236-1

CITATIONS	15	READS
4		3,283
1 author:		
	Peter Wolfgang Swarzenski International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)	
	183 PUBLICATIONS 4,584 CITATIONS	
	SEE PROFILE	

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Large Rivers Project View project

marine sedimentology View project

²¹⁰Pb Dating

Peter W. Swarzenski* US Geological Survey, Pacific Coastal and Marine Science Center, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

Keywords

Geochronology; Radioactivity; Radionuclide; Mass accumulation rates; Sedimentation rates

Synonyms

Mass accumulation rates; Recent sediment dating; Sedimentation rates

Definition

Method of dating recent sediment deposition and accumulation using down-core profiles of short-lived radioactive ²¹⁰Pb.

History

Roughly 50 years ago, a small group of scientists from Belgium and the USA, trying to better constrain ice sheet accumulation rates, attempted to apply what was then known about environmental lead as a potential geochronometer. Thus Goldberg (1963) developed the first principles of the ²¹⁰Pb dating method, which was soon followed by a paper by Crozaz et al. (1964), who examined accumulation history of Antarctic snow using ²¹⁰Pb. Shortly thereafter, Koide et al. (1972, 1973) adapted this technique to unravel sediment deposition and accumulation records in deep-sea environments. Serendipitously, they chose to work in a deep basin off California, where an independent and robust age model had already been developed. Krishanswami et al. (1971) extended the use of this technique to lacustrine deposits to reconstruct depositional histories of lake sediment and, maybe more importantly, contaminant inputs and burial. Thus, the powerful tool for dating recent (up to about one century old) sediment deposits was established and soon widely adopted. Today almost all oceanographic or limnologic studies that address recent depositional reconstructions employ ²¹⁰Pb as one of several possible geochronometers (Andrews et al. 2009; Gale 2009; Baskaran 2011; Persson and Helms 2011). This entry presents a short overview of the principles of ²¹⁰Pb dating and provides a few examples that illustrate the utility of this tracer in contrasting depositional systems. Potential caveats and uncertainties (Appleby et al. 1986; Binford 1990; Binford et al. 1993; Smith 2001; Hancock et al. 2002) inherent to the use and interpretation of ²¹⁰Pb-derived age models are also introduced. Recommendations as to best practices for most reliable uses and reporting are presented in the summary.

^{*}Email: pswarzen@usgs.gov



Fig. 1 The ²³⁸U decay chain showing ²²⁶Ra, ²²²Rn, ²¹⁰Pb, and ²¹⁰Po. Half-lives are shown in parentheses where *a* years, *d* days, *hr* hours, *m* minutes, and μs microseconds

²¹⁰Pb Dating Principles

Pb-210 is a naturally occurring radionuclide of the ²³⁸U radioactive decay chain and has a half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of 22.23 years (Fig. 1). In most environmental systems that have remained "closed" for more than about 120 years (five times the half-life of ²¹⁰Pb), ²¹⁰Pb is derived from its parent radionuclide, ²²⁶Ra ($t_{1/2} = 1,600$ years), that is present in the material will reach a state of radioactive secular equilibrium. In such settings, ²¹⁰Pb is not a viable geochronometer. However, in more recently deposited sediment, ²¹⁰Pb may not be in equilibrium with parent isotope ²²⁶Ra and may have an additional source unrelated to ²²⁶Ra. First, a fraction of the observed ²¹⁰Pb is continuously produced by decay of ²²⁶Ra and represents "supported" ²¹⁰Pb, which is considered time independent. The second fraction originates from the decay of atmospheric ²²²Rn into ²¹⁰Pb and is called "excess" ²¹⁰Pb. After wet/dry deposition, rapid scavenging processes remove this radionuclide from the water column (Chanton et al. 1983; Crusius and Anderson 1995) via adsorption onto suspended particulates which may then become incorporated in sediment (Fig. 2). Bottom sediment thus contains a mixture of both supported and excess ²¹⁰Pb. This excess ²¹⁰Pb predictably decays with its 22.23 year half-life and can be used to establish recent sediment geochronologies (Goldberg and Bruland 1974; Appleby and Oldfield 1983) under ideal depositional conditions.

If both sediment accumulation and the flux of excess ²¹⁰Pb to a sediment surface are constant over time, and there are no postdepositional processes that redistribute excess ²¹⁰Pb present in the sediment, then a down-core profile of excess ²¹⁰Pb will follow a simple exponential curve that



Fig. 2 Conceptual illustration of the dominant sources and transport pathways for ²¹⁰Pb

tracks its decay. Although these two assumptions are not always observed in natural settings, this simple premise forms the underlying principle for use of ²¹⁰Pb as a geochronometer. More intricate models have been developed that address nuances in the flux of sediment and ²¹⁰Pb (Sanchez-Cabeza and Ruiz-Fernandez 2012), as well as the effects of postdepositional mixing (Pourchet et al. 1989), including bioturbation (Benninger et al. 1979; Nittrouer et al. 1983).

Goldberg (1963) first proposed the constant flux model, where the ²¹⁰Pb flux to sediment is assumed to be constant over time, while the sedimentation rate may vary. This model subsequently became known as the constant rate of supply (CRS) model (Appleby and Oldfield 1978; Benoit and Rozan 2001; Robbins 1978), which is still one of the most often used ²¹⁰Pb dating models (Sanchez-Cabeza et al. 2000; Persson and Helms 2011). This model assumes that the down-core excess ²¹⁰Pb activity, vertically integrated to a depth, *x*, or a cumulative dry mass, *m*, will equal the flux integrated over the corresponding time interval. Integrating to either *x* or *m*,

$$A(x,m) = A_0^{(-\lambda t)} \tag{1}$$

where A(x, m) is the cumulative residual unsupported or excess ²¹⁰Pb activity beneath sediment of depth *x*, or mass *m*, A_0 is the total unsupported ²¹⁰Pb activity in the sediment column, λ is the ²¹⁰Pb decay constant, 0.03114 year⁻¹, and *t* represents time. The age of sediment at depth *x*, *m* is then described by:

$$t = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \ln\left[\frac{A_0}{A_{(x,m)}}\right] \tag{2}$$

Unlike the CRS model, in the constant initial concentration (CIC) model, the ²¹⁰Pb supply varies directly in proportion to the sedimentation rate (Shukla and Joshi 1989). Here the age (t) of a sediment layer is related to the depth (m) by

$$t = m/s \tag{3}$$

where *m* denotes the mass depth in the core $(g \text{ cm}^{-2})$ and s denotes the sedimentation rate $(g \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1})$. The unsupported ²¹⁰Pb activity (*A*(*m*)) will thus vary with depth in accordance with the formula:

$$A(m) = A(0)e^{-\lambda m/t} \tag{4}$$

where λ is the decay constant and A(0) is the unsupported activity at the surface of the core. When plotted on a logarithmic scale, the resulting ²¹⁰Pb activity versus depth profile will appear linear, and the mean sedimentation rate, *s*, can then be determined using a least squares fit procedure. With these simple equations, ²¹⁰Pb often can be used as a reliable geochronometer under suitable depositional conditions, although volumes have been written on more elaborate models and their limitations.

Many processes influence the delivery of excess ²¹⁰Pb to the bottom sediment of a lake or ocean. For example, the atmospheric flux of ²¹⁰Pb varies by latitude, altitude, and season (Garcia-Orellana et al. 2006; Baskaran and Swarzenski 2007). Catchment size and geology impact scavenging efficiencies and ensuing transport rates of ²¹⁰Pb (Nittrouer et al. 1983). Water column depth and residence times and suspended particle composition may also affect ²¹⁰Pb cycling (Turner and Delorme 1996). Based on Appleby (2008), the CRS model will likely produce valid geochronologies if sediment transport rates from the catchment are reasonably constant and produce a minor component of supported ²¹⁰Pb compared to atmospheric flux rates contributing to excess ²¹⁰Pb.

²¹⁰Pb Measurements

Traditionally, the analytical determination of ²¹⁰Pb was based on either radiochemical separation of ²¹⁰Pb and measurement of its short-lived beta-emitting daughter, ²¹⁰Bi ($t_{1/2} = 5.01$ day), or on alpha spectrometry of its indirect decay product ²¹⁰Po ($t_{1/2} = 138.4$ day) that was assumed to be in secular equilibrium with ²¹⁰Pb. While both of these methods are laborious, today's alpha-spectrometric systems often consist of sophisticated, integrated sets of multiple detectors so one sediment core can theoretically be counted in just a few days. Advanced planar- or well-type semiconductor detectors enable the gamma-spectrometric analysis of the 46.5 keV decay energy of ²¹⁰Pb with high relative efficiency (Zaborska et al. 2007). Because gamma analysis of sediment requires only minor (nondestructive) laboratory work, the use of gamma detectors has considerably reduced the effort for most routine ²¹⁰Pb determinations. In addition, the gamma-spectrometric method provides a concurrent determination of ²²⁶Ra, which allows the activities of supported ²¹⁰Pb to be estimated. Ra-226 activity is determined by quantifying intermediate daughter radionuclides ²¹⁴Pb (at 295 and 352 keV) and ²¹⁴Bi (at 609 keV) after establishing their radioactive equilibrium with ²²²Rn (Kirchner and Ehlers 1998; Swarzenski et al. 2006). Importantly, the gamma-spectrometric method also provides for the determination of 137 Cs ($t_{1/2} = 30.17$ year; 661 keV), an independent, nuclear bomb-produced geochronometer.

Based on our own laboratory experience, detection limits for ²¹⁰Pb are typically less than 10 mBq g^{-1} for well-type HPGe gamma detectors and are likely somewhat lower for planar

detectors that can accommodate much larger sediment sample sizes (~20–50 g). Thus, detection limits for gamma detectors may be considerably higher than those achievable with alpha-spectrometric counting of radiochemically separated ²¹⁰Po. As a consequence, gamma spectrometry may be of limited use in areas showing small atmospheric deposition rates of ²¹⁰Pb.

Prior knowledge of the analytical detection limits of the instrumentation as well as the sample size and geometry can affect the decision on how to best section a sediment core for ²¹⁰Pb analyses (Kirchner 2011). Because of the inverse relationship between particle surface area and particle size, muddy sediment usually contains the highest activities of adsorbed ²¹⁰Pb (Smith and Walton 1980). Thinner sediment layers expectedly provide a better time resolution of sedimentation rates but may compromise counting statistics if there is not enough excess ²¹⁰Pb present within a sediment layer. For a given flux of excess ²¹⁰Pb into the sediment, its activity concentrations are inversely related to the sedimentation rate (Alexander and Lee 2009). Thus, some prior estimate of the expected sedimentation rate is also desirable to guide the core sectioning. An independent estimate of sedimentation rate may be available, for example, from lithological analyses of the core (i.e., varves). Otherwise, the core should be sectioned into thin, consecutive layers and, starting with the youngest sediment, measured layer by layer until excess ²¹⁰Pb activities approach parentsupported activities. If ²¹⁰Pb activities approach instrument detection limits, the sediment layers can be combined prior to analysis.

After sectioning, each sediment layer is homogenized and weighed prior to and after drying at 105 C for at least 24 h (Swarzenski et al. 2006). The wet and dry weights provide water content information. The porosity (ϕ) of each sample is calculated as follows:

$$\phi = f_w / [f_w + (1 - f_w) \rho_w / \rho_s]$$
(5)

where f_w is the fraction of water in the wet sediment (=1 – dry wt./wet wt.), ρ_w is the density of pore water (assumed to be 1.0 g cm⁻³), and ρ_s is the density of dry sediment particles (assumed to be 2.5 g cm⁻³). The cumulative mass depth (*M*) is calculated as follows:

$$M(\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}) = \sum \left[1 - \phi_i\right) \rho_s x \delta x \tag{6}$$

where ϕ_i is the porosity at depth "*i*" and δx is the thickness of the layer (i.e., 1 cm). The dried sediment layers are pulverized using an agate mortar and pestle and prepared for subsequent analyses.

Three Examples of ²¹⁰Pb Profiles in Diverse Depositional Settings

Submarine basin – The San Pedro Basin is located in the Southern California Bight, adjacent to Los Angeles, California, USA. This marine basin acts as an efficient trap for both natural and anthropogenic materials. Due to the bathymetry of this deep basin, water circulation is restricted and, as a consequence, water residence times are long. Such a depositional setting is ideal for excess-²¹⁰Pb-derived geochronologies. Previous work on sedimentation rates in the San Pedro Basin (Huh et al. 1990; Alexander and Lee 2009) corroborate the excess ²¹⁰Pb and ¹³⁷Cs geochronology shown in Fig. 3. Both down-core profiles of ¹³⁷Cs and excess ²¹⁰Pb indicate that the sediment has been accumulating at a rate close to 0.09 cm day⁻¹; although finer scale sectioning would likely show a decline in the sedimentation rates around the turn of the twentieth century, coincident with intensified sediment discharge controls on land.



Fig. 3 (a) Excess down-core ²¹⁰Pb (xs^{210} Pb) and (b) ¹³⁷Cs profiles (in disintegrations per minute, dpm) and derived sedimentation rates in a deep submarine basin: San Pedro Basin, California. 60 dpm = 1 Bq = 27.027 pCi. In (a) *black* and *gray* symbols distinguish unique linear sediment rates. Summary parameters of the linear regression are represented as the slope (b) and r-squared (r^2) value

Alpine lake – Copper Lake is located in the North Cascades National Park, Washington State, less than 10 km from the USA-Canada border (Sheibley et al. 2012). The lake is at an elevation of about 1,600 m above mean sea level and has a surface area of 5.2 ha. The maximum depth of the lake is about 20 m. Figure 4 shows the down-core profiles of excess ²¹⁰Pb and ¹³⁷Cs for Copper Lake. The activities of both ¹³⁷Cs and excess ²¹⁰Pb are high and indicative of large atmospheric fluxes being delivered into a small basin.

Sinkhole lake – Lake Tulane (40 ha) is located in central Florida at an elevation of 35 m above mean sea level and contains one of the longest climate records for a lake in the USA (Grimm et al. 1993). As a sinkhole lake, Lake Tulane was formed when a limestone deposit catastrophically collapsed due to dissolution by groundwater. Today, the water budget of the lake is balanced by surface and groundwater contributions. Sustained groundwater seepage can also transport radionuclides such as ²²⁶Ra. Figure 5 shows the down-core profiles of excess ²¹⁰Pb and total (supported) ²¹⁰Pb for Lake Tulane. There is a pronounced mixed layer – ubiquitous in sediment that contains an active biological community – which extends down to ~10 cm. Excess ²¹⁰Pb systematically declines down core to yield two solid sedimentation rates, but at a depth of ~40 cm, there is a notable inflection in the total (supported) ²¹⁰Pb activities. It is likely that this down-core anomaly is produced from ²²⁶Ra-rich groundwater seepage (Brenner et al. 2004). Norton et al. (1985) concluded that similar anomalies observed in several high-altitude alpine lakes in Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado, were the result of groundwater movement that likely impacted the distribution of ²¹⁰Pb.



Fig. 4 Excess ²¹⁰Pb and ¹³⁷Cs down-core profiles and derived sedimentation rates in an alpine lake: Copper Lake, Washington (Redrawn from Sheibley et al. 2012). In (**a**) *white*, *black*, and *gray* symbols distinguish unique linear sediment rates. Summary parameters of the linear regression are represented as the slope (**b**) and r-squared (r^2) value



Fig. 5 Excess 210 Pb (xs 210 Pb) and total (supported) 210 Pb (tot 210 Pb, solid *black circles*) down-core profiles and derived sedimentation rates in a sinkhole lake: Lake Tulane, Florida. Open triangles (Line 1) and open squares (Line 2) distinguish unique linear sediment rates. Summary parameters of the linear regression are represented as the slope (*b*)

Summary

During the last decade, there has been an unprecedented push to learn more about how the environment has changed over time. This interest is grounded in trying to better understand differences between natural- and anthropogenic-driven changes and how our environment may respond to such changes in the future. One of the most fundamental steps in establishing a record of environmental change is to first derive an accurate geochronology. The geochronologies generated by ²¹⁰Pb analysis can resolve the very recent past (last 100–200 years), with total (1 σ) uncertainties varying from just under 1 year to around a decade (Baskaran 2011). However, ²¹⁰Pb geochronologies are not without inherent problems and uncertainties (Robbins and Edgington 1975; von Gunten and Moser 1993). The development of a ²¹⁰Pb geochronology should never become a routine exercise (Appleby and Oldfield 1992; Appleby 2008). Even some recent studies that employ ²¹⁰Pb as a geochronometer still fail to meet the minimum requirements identified by Oldfield and Appleby (1984). For example, some of these efforts may fail to adequately evaluate inconsistencies in competing ²¹⁰Pb models, or they may forego assessing ²¹⁰Pb model results against independent geochronologies (e.g., other radioisotope systems or varve counting). Lastly, some ²¹⁰Pb applications may ignore postdepositional mixing (Benninger et al. 1979; Berner 1980; Miguel et al. 2003) that can yield a surprisingly plausible down-core ²¹⁰Pb profile but which produces a geochronological model that is simply invalid (Kirchner 2011). That said, a carefully developed ²¹⁰Pb geochronology, and one substantiated in the peer-reviewed literature per recommendations outlined in Smith (2001) and Hancock et al. (2002), is invaluable in any recent environmental reconstruction. Sediment dating using ²¹⁰Pb methods will continue to be refined with new improvements in analytical and model capabilities.

Cross-References

- Alpha Spectroscopy
- ► Lacustrine Varves
- ► Marine Varves
- ► Sediment Mixing Rate, ²¹⁰Pb
- ► U-Series Dating
- ► Varve Chronology

Bibliography

- Alexander, C. R., and Lee, H. J., 2009. Sediment accumulation on the Southern California Bight continental margin during the twentieth century. *Geological Society of America, Special Paper*, 54, 69–87.
- Andrews, A. H., Stone, R. P., Lundstrom, C. C., and DeVogelaere, A. P., 2009. Growth rate and age determination of bamboo corals from the northeastern Pacific Ocean using refined ²¹⁰Pb dating. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **397**, 173–185.
- Appleby, P. G., 2008. Three decades of dating recent sediments by fallout radionuclides: a review. *The Holocene*, **18**, 83–93.
- Appleby, P. G., and Oldfield, F., 1978. The calculation of ²¹⁰Pb dates assuming a constant rate of supply of unsupported ²¹⁰Pb to the sediment. *Catena*, **5**, 1–8.

- Appleby, P. G., and Oldfield, F., 1983. The assessment of ²¹⁰Pb data from sites with varying sediment accumulation rates. *Hydrobiologia*, **103**, 29–35.
- Appleby, P. G., and Oldfield, F., 1992. Application of ²¹⁰Pb to sedimentation studies. In Ivanovich, M., and Harmon, R. S. (eds.), *Uranium-series Disequilibrium: Application to Environmental Problems*. Oxford: Oxford Sciences Publications, pp. 731–778.
- Appleby, P. G., Nolan, P. J., Gifford, D. W., Godfrey, M. J., Oldfield, F., Anderson, N. J., and Battarbee, R. W., 1986. ²¹⁰Pb dating by low background gamma counting. *Hydrobiologia*, **141**, 21–27.
- Baskaran, M., 2011. Dating of Biogenic and Inorganic Carbonates Using ²¹⁰Pb-²²⁶Ra Disequilibrium Method: A Review. In Baskaran, M. (ed.), *Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry*. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 789–809.
- Baskaran, M., and Swarzenski, P. W., 2007. Seasonal variations on the residence times and partitioning of short-lived radionuclides (²³⁴Th, ⁷Be and ²¹⁰Pb) and depositional fluxes of ⁷Be and ²¹⁰Pb in Tampa Bay, Florida. *Marine Chemistry*, **104**, 27–42.
- Benninger, L. K., Aller, R. C., Cochran, J. K., and Turekian, K. K., 1979. Effects of biological sediment mixing on the ²¹⁰Pb chronology and trace metal distribution in a Long Island Sound sediment core. *Earth Planetary Sciences Letter*, **43**, 241–259.
- Benoit, G., and Rozan, T. F., 2001. ²¹⁰Pb and ¹³⁷Cs dating methods in lakes: a retrospective study. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, **25**, 455–465.
- Berner, R. A., 1980. *Early Diagenesis: A Theoretical Approach*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, p. 241.
- Binford, M. W., 1990. Calculation and uncertainty analysis of ²¹⁰Pb dates for PIRLA project lake sediment cores. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, **3**, 253–267.
- Binford, M. W., Kahl, J. S., and Norton, S. A., 1993. Interpretation of ²¹⁰Pb profiles and verification of the CRS dating model in PIRLA project lake sediment cores. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, **9**, 275–296.
- Brenner, M., Schelske, C. L., and Kenney, W. F., 2004. Inputs of dissolved and particulate ²²⁶Ra to lakes and implications for ²¹⁰Pb dating recent sediments. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, **32**, 53–66.
- Chanton, P. J., Martens, S. C., and Kipphut, W. G., 1983. Lead-210 sediment geochronology in a changing coastal environment. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **47**, 1791–1804.
- Crozaz, G., Picciotto, E., and De Breuck, W., 1964. Antarctic snow chronology with ²¹⁰Pb. *Journal* of Geophysical Research, **69**, 2597–2604.
- Crusius, J., and Anderson, R. F., 1995. Sediment focusing in six small lakes inferred from radionuclide profiles. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, **13**, 143–155.
- Gale, S. J., 2009. Dating the recent past. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 4, 374–377.
- Garcia-Orellana, J., Sanchez-Cabeza, J. A., Masque, P., Avila, A., Costa, E., Loye-Pilot, M. D., and Bruach-Menchen, J. M., 2006. Atmospheric fluxes of ²¹⁰Pb to the western Mediterranean Sea and the Saharan dust influence. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **111**, D15305.
- Goldberg, E. D., 1963. Geochronology with ²¹⁰Pb. In *Radioactive Dating. Proceedings of the Symposium on Radioactive Dating Held by the International Atomic Energy Agency in Co-operation with the Joint Commission on Applied Radioactivity*, Athens, November 19–23, 1962, pp. 121–131.
- Goldberg, E. D., and Bruland, K., 1974. Radioactive geochronologies. In Goldberg, E. D. (ed.), *The Sea*. New York, Wiley Interscience, Vol. 5, pp. 451–489.
- Grimm, E. C., Jacobson, G. L., Jr., Watts, W. A., Hansen, B. C., and Maasch, K. A., 1993. A 50,000year record of climate oscillations from Florida and its temporal correlation with the Heinrich events. *Science*, **261**, 198–200.

- Hancock, G. Edgington, D. N., Robbins, J. A., Smith, J. N., Brunskill, G., and Pfitzer, J., 2002. Workshop on radiological techniques in sedimentation studies: methods and applications. In Fernandez, J. M., and Fichez, R. (eds.), *Environmental Changes and Radioactive Tracers. Proceedings of the Sixth biennial Conference of the South Pacific Environmental Radioactivity Association*, Noumea, New Caledonia, June 19–23, 2000. Paris: IRD Editions, pp. 233–251. ISBN 2-7099-1493-X.
- Huh, C. A., Small, L. F., Niemnil, S., Finney, B. P., Hickey, B. M., Kachel, N. B., Gorsline, D. S., and Williams, P. M., 1990. Sedimentation dynamics in the Santa Monica–San Pedro Basin off Los Angeles: radiochemical, sediment trap and transmission studies. *Continental Shelf Research*, 10, 137–164.
- Kirchner, G., 2011. Pb-210 as a tool for establishing sediment chronologies: examples of potentials and limitations of conventional dating methods. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, **102**, 490–494.
- Kirchner, G., and Ehlers, H., 1998. Sediment geochronology in changing coastal environments: potentials and limitations of the ¹³⁷Cs and ²¹⁰Pb methods. *Journal of Coastal Research*, **14**, 483–492.
- Koide, M., Soutar, A., and Goldberg, E. D., 1972. Marine geochronology with ²¹⁰Pb. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **14**, 442–446.
- Koide, M., Bruland, K. W., and Goldberg, E. D., 1973. ²²⁸Th/²³²Th and ²¹⁰Pb geochronologies in marine and lake sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **37**, 1171–1187.
- Krishnaswamy, S., Lal, D., Martin, J. M., and Meybeck, M., 1971. Geochronology of lake sediments. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **11**, 407–414.
- Miguel, S., Bolívar, J. P., and Garcia-Tenorio, R., 2003. Mixing, sediment accumulation and focusing using ²¹⁰Pb and ¹³⁷Cs. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, **29**, 1–11.
- Nittrouer, C. A., DeMaster, D. J., McKee, B. A., Cutshall, N. H., and Larsen, I. L., 1983. The effect of sediment mixing on ²¹⁰Pb accumulation rates for the Washington continental shelf. *Marine Geology*, **54**, 201–221.
- Norton, S. A., Hess, C. T., Blake, G. M., Morrison, M. L., and Baron, J., 1985. Excess unsupported ²¹⁰Pb in lake sediment from Rocky Mountain lakes: a groundwater effect. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, **42**, 1249–1254.
- Oldfield, F., and Appleby, P. G., 1984. Empirical test of ²¹⁰Pb models for lake sediments. In Haworth, Y., and Lund, J. W. C. (eds.), *Lake Sediments and Environmental History*. Leicester: Leicester University Press, pp. 93–124. ISBN 0-7185-1220-0.
- Persson, B. R. R., and Helms, E., 2011. Polonium-210 and lead-210 in the terrestrial environment: a historical review. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, **102**, 420–429.
- Pourchet, M., Pinglot, J. P., and Melieres, M. A., 1989. Cesium-137 and lead-210 in alpine lake sediments: measurements and modeling of mixing processes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 94, 12,761–12,770.
- Robbins, J. A., 1978. Geochemical and geophysical applications of radioactive lead. In Nriagu, J. O. (ed.), *Biogeochemistry of Lead in the Environment*. Amsterdam: Elsevier/North-Holland. Topics in Environmental Health, Vol. 1A, pp. 285–393.
- Robbins, J. A., and Edgington, D. N., 1975. Determination of recent sedimentation rates in Lake Michigan using Pb-210 and Cs-137. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **39**, 285–304.
- Sanchez-Cabeza, J. A., and Ruiz-Fernandez, A. C., 2012. ²¹⁰Pb sediment radiochronology: an integrated formulation and classification of dating models. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 82, 183–200.

- Sanchez-Cabeza, J. A., Ani-Ragolta, I., and Masqué, P., 2000. Some considerations of the ²¹⁰Pb constant rate of supply (CRS) dating model. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **45**, 990–995.
- Sheibley, R. W., Foreman, J. R., Moran, P. W., and Swarzenski, P. W., 2012. Atmospheric deposition, water-quality, and sediment data for selected lakes in Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks, Washington, 2008–2010. U.S. Geological Survey Data Series, 721, 34 p.
- Shukla, B. S., and Joshi, S. R., 1989. An evaluation of the CIC Model of ²¹⁰Pb dating in sediments. *Environmental Geology and Water Sciences*, **14**, 73–76.
- Smith, J. N., 2001. Why should we believe ²¹⁰Pb sediment geochronologies? *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, **55**, 121–123.
- Smith, J. N., and Walton, A., 1980. Sediment accumulation rates and geochronologies measured in the Saguenay Fjord using the ²¹⁰Pb dating method. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **44**, 225–240.
- Swarzenski, P. W., Baskaran, M., Rosenbauer, R. J., and Orem, W. H., 2006. Historical trace element distribution in sediments from the Mississippi River delta. *Estuaries and Coasts*, **29**, 1094–1107.
- Turner, L. J., and Delorme, L. D., 1996. Assessment of ²¹⁰Pb data from Canadian Lakes using the CIC and CRS models. *Environmental Geology*, **28**, 78–87.
- von Gunten, H. R., and Moser, R. N., 1993. How reliable is the ²¹⁰Pb dating method? Old and new results from Switzerland. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, **9**, 161–178.
- Zaborska, A., Carroll, J., Papucci, C., and Pempkowiak, J., 2007. Intercomparison of alpha and gamma spectrometry techniques used in ²¹⁰Pb geochronology. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, **93**, 38–50.